

Sharp Bounds for the Harmonic Numbers

Mark B. Villarino

Depto. de Matemática, Universidad de Costa Rica,
2060 San José, Costa Rica

February 2, 2008

Abstract

We obtain best upper and lower bounds for the LODGE-RAMANUJAN and DETEMPLE-WANG approximations to the n th Harmonic Number.

1 Introduction

For every natural number $n \geq 1$ the **Harmonic Number**, H_n is the n th partial sum of the harmonic series:

$$H_n := 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}. \quad (1)$$

Although the asymptotics of H_n were determined by EULER, (see [4]), in his famous formula:

$$H_n \sim \ln n + \gamma + \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{12n^2} + \frac{1}{120n^4} - [\cdots], \quad (2)$$

where $\gamma = 0.57721 \cdots$ is EULER's constant and each summand in the asymptotic expansion is of the form $\frac{B_k}{n^k}$, where B_k denotes the k th BERNOULLI number, mathematicians have continued to offer alternate approximative formulas to EULER's. We cite the following formulas, which appear in order of increasing accuracy:

$$H_n \approx \ln n + \gamma + \frac{1}{2n + \frac{1}{3}} \quad (3)$$

$$\approx \ln \sqrt{n(n+1)} + \gamma + \frac{1}{6n(n+1) + \frac{6}{5}} \quad (4)$$

$$\approx \ln \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \gamma + \frac{1}{24 \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{21}{5}}. \quad (5)$$

The formula (3) is the TÓTH-MARE approximation, (see [5]), and it **underestimates** the true value of H_n by terms of order $\frac{1}{72n^3}$; the second, (4), is the LODGE-RAMANUJAN approximation, and it **overestimates** the true value of H_n by terms of order $\frac{19}{3150 [n(n+1)]^3}$, (see [6]); and the last, (5), is the DETEMPLE-WANG approximation, and it **overestimates** the true value of H_n by terms of order $\frac{2071}{806400 (n + \frac{1}{2})^6}$, (see [2]).

In 2003, CHAO-PING CHEN and FENG QI, (see [1]), published a proof of the following sharp form of the TÓTH-MARE approximation:

Theorem 1. *For any natural number $n \geq 1$, the following inequality is valid:*

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{2n + \frac{1}{1-\gamma} - 2} \leq H_n - \ln n - \gamma < \frac{1}{2n + \frac{1}{3}}.} \quad (6)$$

The constants $\frac{1}{1-\gamma} - 2 = .3652721 \dots$ and $\frac{1}{3}$ are the best possible, and equality holds only for $n = 1$.

The first *statement* of this theorem had been announced ten years earlier by the editors of the “Problems” section of the *American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol 99, No. 7, (Jul-Aug, 1992), p 685, as part of a commentary on the solution of Problem 3432, but they did not publish the proof. So, the first *published* proof is apparently that of CHEN and QI.

In this paper we will prove sharp forms of the LODGE-RAMANUJAN approximation and the DETEMPLE-WANG approximation.

Theorem 2. *For any natural number $n \geq 1$, the following inequality is valid:*

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{6n(n+1) + \frac{6}{5}} < H_n - \ln \sqrt{n(n+1)} - \gamma \leq \frac{1}{6n(n+1) + \frac{12\gamma-11-12\ln 2}{1-\gamma-\ln \sqrt{2}}}.} \quad (7)$$

The constants $\frac{12\gamma-11-12\ln 2}{1-\gamma-\ln \sqrt{2}} = 1.12150934 \dots$ and $\frac{6}{5}$ are the best possible, and equality holds only for $n = 1$.

and

Theorem 3. *For any natural number $n \geq 1$, the following inequality is valid:*

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{24 (n + \frac{1}{2})^2 + \frac{21}{5}} \leq H_n - \ln n - \gamma < \frac{1}{24 (n + \frac{1}{2})^2 + \frac{54 \ln \frac{3}{2} + 54\gamma - 53}{1 - \ln \frac{3}{2} - \gamma}}.} \quad (8)$$

The constants $\frac{54 \ln \frac{3}{2} + 54\gamma - 53}{1 - \ln \frac{3}{2} - \gamma} = 3.73929752 \dots$ and $\frac{21}{5}$ are the best possible, and equality holds only for $n = 1$.

All three theorems are corollaries of the following stronger theorem:

Theorem 4. *For any natural number $n \geq 1$, define f_n , λ_n , and d_n by:*

$$H_n := \ln n + \gamma + \frac{1}{2n + f_n} \quad (9)$$

$$:= \ln \sqrt{n(n+1)} + \gamma + \frac{1}{6n(n+1) + \lambda_n} \quad (10)$$

$$:= \ln \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \gamma + \frac{1}{24 \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + d_n}, \quad (11)$$

*respectively. Then for any natural number $n \geq 1$ the sequence $\{f_n\}$ is **monotonically decreasing** while the sequences $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{d_n\}$ are **monotonically increasing**.*

CHEN and QI, (see [1]), proved that the sequence $\{f_n\}$ **decreases** monotonically. In this paper we will prove the monotonicity of the sequences $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{d_n\}$.

2 Lemmas

Our proof is based on inequalities satisfied by the **digamma** function, $\Psi(x)$:

$$\boxed{\Psi(x) := \frac{d}{dx} \ln \Gamma(x) \equiv \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)} \equiv -\gamma - \frac{1}{x} + x \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(x+n)},} \quad (12)$$

which is the generalization of H_n to the real variable x since $\Psi(x)$ and H_n satisfy the equation:

$$\Psi(n+1) = H_n - \gamma. \quad (13)$$

Lemma 1. *For every $x > 0$ there exist numbers θ_x and Θ_x , with $0 < \theta_x < 1$ and $0 < \Theta_x < 1$, for which the following equations are true:*

$$\Psi(x+1) = \ln x + \frac{1}{2x} - \frac{1}{12x^2} + \frac{1}{120x^4} - \frac{1}{252x^6} + \frac{1}{240x^8} \theta_x, \quad (14)$$

$$\Psi'(x+1) = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2x^2} + \frac{1}{6x^3} - \frac{1}{30x^5} + \frac{1}{42x^7} - \frac{1}{30x^9} \Theta_x. \quad (15)$$

$$(16)$$

Proof. Both formulas are well-known. See, for example, [3], pp 124-125.

□

Lemma 2. *The following inequalities are true for $x > 0$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3x(x+1)} - \frac{1}{15x^2(x+1)^2} &< 2\Psi(x+1) - \ln\{x(x+1)\} \\ &< \frac{1}{3x(x+1)} - \frac{1}{15x^2(x+1)^2} + \frac{8}{315x^3(x+1)^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{x(x+1)} - \frac{1}{3x^3} + \frac{1}{15x^5} - \frac{1}{18x^7} &< \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x+1} - 2\Psi'(x+1) \\ &< \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{x(x+1)} - \frac{1}{3x^3} + \frac{1}{15x^5}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Proof. The inequalities (17) were proved in our paper, (see[6]), for integers n instead of the real variable x . But the proofs are valid for real x .

For (18) we start with (15) of **Lemma 1**. We conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2x^2} - \frac{1}{6x^3} + \frac{1}{30x^5} - \frac{1}{36x^7} < \frac{1}{x} - \Psi'(x+1) < \frac{1}{2x^2} - \frac{1}{6x^3} + \frac{1}{30x^5}.$$

Now we multiply to all three components of the inequality by 2 and add $\frac{1}{x+1} - \frac{1}{x}$ to them. □

Lemma 3. *The following inequalities are true for $x > 0$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)} - \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^2} - \frac{1}{6x^3} + \frac{1}{30x^5} - \frac{1}{42x^7} &< \frac{1}{x + \frac{1}{2}} - \Psi'(x+1) \\ &< \frac{1}{\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)} - \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^2} - \frac{1}{6x^3} + \frac{1}{30x^5}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{24x^2} - \frac{1}{24x^3} + \frac{23}{960x^4} - \frac{1}{160x^5} - \frac{11}{8064x^6} - \frac{1}{896x^7} &< \Psi(x+1) - \ln\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &< \frac{1}{24x^2} - \frac{1}{24x^3} + \frac{23}{960x^4} - \frac{1}{160x^5} - \frac{11}{8064x^6} - \frac{1}{896x^7} + \frac{143}{30720x^8}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of **Lemma 2**. □

3 Proof for the Lodge-Ramanujan approximation

Proof. We solve (10) for λ_n and use (13) to obtain

$$\lambda_n = \frac{1}{\Psi(n+1) - \ln \sqrt{n(n+1)}} - 6n(n+1).$$

Define

$$\boxed{\Lambda_x := \frac{1}{2\Psi(x+1) - \ln x(x+1)} - 3x(x+1).} \quad (21)$$

for all $x > 0$. Observe that $2\Lambda_n = \lambda_n$.

We will show that $\Lambda'_x > 0$ for $x > 5$. Computing the derivative we obtain

$$\Lambda'_x = \frac{\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x+1} - \Psi'(x+1)}{\{2\Psi(x+1) - \ln\{x(x+1)\}\}^2} - (6x+3)$$

and therefore

$$\{2\Psi(x+1) - \ln\{x(x+1)\}\}^2 \Lambda'_x = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x+1} - \Psi'(x+1) - (6x+3)\{2\Psi(x+1) - \ln\{x(x+1)\}\}^2.$$

By **Lemma 2**, this is greater than

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{x(x+1)} - \frac{1}{3x^3} + \frac{1}{15x^5} - \frac{1}{18x^7} \\ & - (6x+3) \left\{ \frac{1}{3x(x+1)} - \frac{1}{15x^2(x+1)^2} + \frac{8}{315x^3(x+1)^3} \right\}^2 \\ & = \frac{1071x^6 + 840x^5 - 17829x^4 - 49266x^3 - 502999x^2 - 22178x - 3675}{66150x^7(x+1)^6} \\ & = \frac{(x-5) \left(x^5 + \frac{295}{51}x^4 + \frac{628}{51}x^3 + \frac{784}{51}x^2 + \frac{32021}{1071}x + \frac{137927}{1071} \right) + \frac{685960}{1071}}{\frac{1051}{17}x^7(x+1)^6} \end{aligned}$$

which is obviously *positive* for $x > 5$.

For $x = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, we compute directly:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_1 &= .56075467\dots \\ \Lambda_2 &= .58418229\dots \\ \Lambda_3 &= .59158588\dots \\ \Lambda_4 &= .59481086\dots \\ \Lambda_5 &= .59649019\dots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{\Lambda_n\}$, $n \geq 1$, is a strictly increasing sequence, and therefore so is the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$.

Moreover, in [6], we proved that

$$\lambda_n = \frac{6}{5} - \Delta_n,$$

where $0 < \Delta_n < \frac{38}{175n(n+1)}$. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \frac{6}{5}.$$

This completes the proof. □

4 Proof for the DeTemple-Wang Approximation

Proof. Following the idea in the proof of the LODGE-RAMANUJAN approximation we solve (11) for d_n and define the corresponding real-variable version. Let

$$\boxed{d_x := \frac{1}{\Psi(x+1) - \ln\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)} - 24 \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} \quad (22)$$

We compute the derivative, ask *when it is **positive***, clear the denominator and observe that we have to solve the inequality:

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{x + \frac{1}{2}} - \Psi'(x+1) \right\} - 48 \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left\{ \Psi(x+1) - \ln \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right\}^2 > 0.$$

By **Lemma 3**, the left hand side of this inequality is

$$\begin{aligned} &> \frac{1}{\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)} - \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^2} - \frac{1}{6x^3} + \frac{1}{30x^5} - \frac{1}{42x^7} - 48 \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &\quad \left(\frac{1}{24x^2} - \frac{1}{24x^3} + \frac{23}{960x^4} - \frac{1}{160x^5} - \frac{11}{8064x^6} - \frac{1}{896x^7} + \frac{143}{30720x^8} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x > 0$. This last quantity is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &(-9018009 - 31747716x - 14007876x^2 + 59313792x^3 + 11454272x^4 - 129239296x^5 + 119566592x^6 \\ &+ 65630208x^7 - 701008896x^8 - 534417408x^9 + 178139136x^{10}) / (17340825600x^{16}(1+2x)) \end{aligned}$$

The denominator,

$$17340825600x^{16}(1+2x),$$

is evidently *positive* for $x > 0$ and the *numerator* can be written in the form

$$p(x)(x-4) + r$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) = &548963242092 + 137248747452x + 34315688832x^2 + 8564093760x^3 + 2138159872x^4 \\ &+ 566849792x^5 + 111820800x^6 + 11547648x^7 + 178139136x^8 + 178139136x^9 \end{aligned}$$

with remainder r equal to

$$r = 2195843950359.$$

Therefore, the numerator is clearly *positive* for $x > 4$, and therefore, the derivative, d_x' , too, is *positive* for $x > 4$. Finally

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= 3.73929752 \dots \\ d_2 &= 4.08925414 \dots \\ d_3 &= 4.13081174 \dots \\ d_4 &= 4.15288035 \dots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{d_n\}$ is an *increasing* sequence for $n \geq 1$.

Now, if we expand the formula for d_n into an asymptotic series in powers of $\frac{1}{(n + \frac{1}{2})}$, we obtain

$$d_n \sim \frac{21}{5} - \frac{1400}{2071 (n + \frac{1}{2})} + \cdots$$

and we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = \frac{21}{5}.$$

This completes the proof. □

References

- [1] Ch.-P. Chen and F. Qi, The best bounds of the n -th harmonic number, *Global Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* 2 (2006), accepted. The best lower and upper bounds of harmonic sequence, *RGMIA Research Report Collection* 6 (2003), no. 2, Article 14. The best bounds of harmonic sequence, available online at <http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/math.CA/0306233>.
- [2] D. DeTemple and S-H Wang “Half-integer Approximations for the Partial Sums of the Harmonic Series” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 160 (1991), 149-156.
- [3] J. Edwards *A Treatise on the Integral Calculus, Vol II*, Chelsea, New York, 1955.
- [4] K. Knopp *Theory and Application of Infinite Series*, Dover, New York, 1990.
- [5] L. Tóth, and S. Mare “E 3432” *American Mathematical Monthly*, 98 (1991), no 3, 264.
- [6] M. Villarino, “Ramanujan’s Approximation to the n th Partial Sum of the Harmonic Series”, preprint, arXiv.math.CA/0402354